

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bret 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/879,966	06/14/2001	Yoshihiko Sano	NPR-074	4190
20374 7	590 02/25/2005		EXAMINER	
KUBOVCIK & KUBOVCIK SUITE 710			MCKANE, ELIZABETH L	
900 17TH STREET NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006		1744	

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			11
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1,=
	09/879,966	SANO, YOSHIHIKO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Leigh McKane	1744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ate, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this come ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	December 2004		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ers, prosecution as to the n	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.3-5.8.11.12 and 15 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4.5.8.11.12 and 15 is/are objected to claim(s) are subject to restriction and the content of the content	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	ccepted or b) Dobjected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	-	• •	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	Examiner: Note the attached		-102.
<ul> <li>12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig</li> <li>a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1. △ Certified copies of the priority documer</li> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documer</li> <li>3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bures</li> </ul>	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have been	pplication No	age
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	` ` ''	received.	
Attachment(s)			
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) i)/Mail Date	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08   Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)

Application/Control Number: 09/879,966

Art Unit: 1744

Page 2

1. The indication of allowable subject matter as set forth in the previous office action is withdrawn in view of the following new grounds of rejection.

## **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 4, and 5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,749,818. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other.

Each and every element of claims 1 and 3 of the instant application is fully encompassed by the claimed subject matter of claims 1, 4, and 5 of the patent.

4. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,649,057.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because each and every element of claims 1 and 3 is fully encompassed by the claimed subject matter of claims 1 and 7 of the patent.

Application/Control Number: 09/879,966

Art Unit: 1744

5. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,656,355.

Page 3

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because each and every element of claims 1 and 3 is fully encompassed by the claimed subject matter of claims 1 and 6 of the patent.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 4, 5, 8, 11, 12, and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: With respect to claims 4 and 8, the patents cited *supra* fail to teach or suggest a second dissolving solution supply line. As to claims 5, 11, 12, and 15, the patents also fails to teach or suggest a second chamber divided by a movable partitions and connected to the dissolving solution supply line, solution preparing line, and the solution transporting line.

## Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leigh McKane whose telephone number is 571-272-1275. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Wednesday (7:15 am-4:45 pm).

Art Unit: 1744

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Warden can be reached on 571-272-1275. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**Primary Examiner** 

Art Unit 1744

elm

22 February 2005